

# Types, Effectiveness, and Cost of BMPs, LID/GI, and Treatment Trains

Jeff Herr, P.E., D.WRE



#### Hydrology: Most rainfall events are 1-inch or less Manage common rain events for WQ improvement

Rainfall Event Range (inches)	Mean Rainfall Depth (inches)	Mean Rainfall Duration Fraction of Annual (hours) Rain Events		Number of Annual Events in Range	
0.00-0.10	0.041	1.203	0.427	56.683	
0.11-0.20	0.152	2.393	0.142	18.866	
0.21-0.30	0.252	3.073	0.080	10.590	
0.31-0.40	0.353	3.371	0.055	7.312	
0.41-0.50	0.456	3.702	0.048	6.325	
0.51-1.00	0.713	4.379	0.129	17.102 (117)	
1.01-1.50	1.221	5.758	0.051	6.733	
1.51-2.0	1.726	7.852	0.024	3.145	
2.01-2.50	2.271	8.090	0.011	1.470	
2.51-3.00	2.704	10.675	0.006	0.726	
3.01-3.50	3.246	9.978	0.003	0.391	
3.51-4.00	3.667	13.362	0.002	0.260	
4.01-4.50	4.216	15.638	0.001	0.149	
4.51-5.00	4.796	17.482	0.000	0.056	
5.01-6.00	5.454	23.303	0.001	0.167	
6.01-7.00	6.470	40.500	0.000	0.019	
7.01-8.00	7.900	31.500	0.000	0.019	
8.01-9.00	8.190	3.500	0.000	0.019	
>9.00	10.675	46.250	0.001	0.075	

### Which Pollutants? Which Forms?

- Sediment
- Biochemical oxygen demand
- Pathogens
- Phosphorus: SRP, OP, TP
- Nitrogen: TKN = Org N + NH3; NOX = NO2 + NO3 TN = TKN + NOX (Only some forms of nutrients are bioavailable)
- Metals
- Toxic compounds

#### Organic or inorganic, dissolved or particulate; it matters

## **BMP Selection Criteria**

- Land area availability/ownership/access
- Site characteristics
- Regulatory requirements and constraints
- Mass pollutant load reduction/environmental benefits
- Construction/Annual O&M/Life cycle cost
- Maintenance staff availability/sophistication
- Decreased maintenance of problem areas
- Social Factors/Public acceptance
- Funding partners/Grant potential
- Piggyback on other planned capital improvements
- Regional vs. many smaller systems

### **Evaluation and Selection of Projects**

- Identify primary and secondary pollutants
- Determine min and max influent pollutant concentrations and stormwater flow rates
- Determine desired removal efficiencies
- Identify available land area
- Identify effective treatment train components
- Evaluate potential treatment trains based on BMP Selection Criteria Factors
- Implement best solution keep pushing forward, you will have obstacles!

#### **Treatment Train - Implementing Cost Effective BMPs For Non-Point Source Management**



#### **Maximize Implementation of Non-Structural BMPs**

Nutrient Management **Street Sweeping Catch Basin Cleanout Material Storage** 



#### Typically cost effective pollutant load reduction

#### **Relative Comparison of Structural BMP Pollutant Removal Effectiveness**

POLLUTANT	INFILTRATION/ VOLUME REDUCTION	DETENTION		CHEMICAL COAGULATION	FILTRATION/ UV	FILTRATION/ OZONE	LIQUID/SOLIDS SEPARATION STUCTURE
Nitrogen	H - VH	L - M	L - H	L - M	L - M	L - M	L
Phosphorus	H - VH	L - M	L – H	H - VH	L - M	L - M	L
TSS	H - VH	н	н	H - VH	H - VH	H -VH	L – M
BOD	H - VH	L - M	м	M	M – H	M – H	L – M
Heavy Metals	H - VH	L - M	M - H	M - H	L - M	L – M	L – M
Pathogens	H - VH	L	L	H - VH	VH	VH	L
Gross Solids	H - VH	н	н	L-H	VH	VH	H-VH

1. Highly dependent on influent pollutant concentration and hydraulic loading rate

VH - Very High H - High M - Medium L- Low

#### **End of Pipe Stormwater Treatment**

- Typically for gross solids and sediment removal but new medias effective for removing other pollutants
- Used extensively for removal of primary pollutants
- Minimal land required
- Relatively inexpensive
- Can be implemented relatively quickly



#### BC Design for CalTrans



Baffle Box CDS Unit Vortechnics Stormceptor Many others \$50k to \$500k

#### **Comparison of BMP Treatment Efficiencies for Primary Pollutants**

Type of BMP	Estimated Removal Efficiencies (% Load Reducti			iction)	
	TN	TP	TSS	BOD	Pathogens
INFILTRATION/REUSE 1.00" VOLUME 1.50" VOLUME	80 90	80 90	80 90	80 90	80 90
WET DET (14-21 day WSRT)	25-35	60-70	90	50-70	30-60
WET DET/FILTER	0-10	50	85	70	20-50
DRY DETENTION	10-20	20-40	20-60	20-50	10-30
DRY DET/FILTER	(-)-20	(-)-20	40-60	0-50	10-25
CHEMICAL TREATMENT	20-50	80-90	>90	30-60	90-99+
WETLAND TREATMENT	(-)-90	(-)-90	50-90	(-)-50	(-)-50

#### **Volume Reduction**

No volume = no load Also reduces conveyance requirements and cost.

**Disconnect Impervious Areas** 

Rainwater Harvesting and Reuse

Stormwater Storage and Reuse

Low Impact Development and Infiltration Practices

#### **Permeable Pavers and Porous Pavement**



Maintenance required.

#### **Rainwater Harvesting and Reuse** (relatively clean water)



1-inch of runoff over 3,000 sf = 1,870 gallons. 55 gallon rain barrels provide minimal storage for a typical single family home. HDPE Tanks ~ \$1/gallon storage

#### **Stormwater Reuse**

Reduces runoff volume and pollutant load and reduces potable water demand.

Higher concentrations of pollutants than rainwater but can be used for irrigation and gray water.



Must have sediment removal element prior to any underground storage with ability to remove sediment.

#### **Bioretention Area** (different than biofiltration)





Research to improve TP and TN removal. Aluminum precipitates for TP (4-5x). Anaerobic zone for denitrification.





#### **Biofiltration/Biodetention**





Lower volume reduction than bioretention but can achieve substantial pollutant concentration reduction. Dense vegetation/engineered soil key.

#### **Bioswales**





#### **Blue/Green Roof**



#### **Curb Extension**



#### **Sidewalk Planter**





#### **Wet Detention and Wetland Treatment**

PPV and residence time key factor for wet detention effectiveness (21+ days)

Significant land area required for wetlands, efficiencies highly dependent on influent concentrations and hydraulic loading rate, plan for future maintenance.

- emergent marsh w/ open water pools
- submerged aquatic vegetation (SAV)
- hardwood elements
- design to minimize short circuiting

## Lake Claiborne Restoration

- Removed 442,043 lbs/yr TSS/restored PPV
- Completed in 6 months
- \$1.2M Construction Cost
- \$3.68/lb TSS
- County average cost per pound is \$10/Ib TSS
- Homeowners happy
- Monitor for WQ and habitat improvements





15 Acre SAV/Wet Detention System treats 600 acres Construction cost \$1M Annual O&M cost \$20,000 Property owned by FDOT

### **Enhanced Treatment Using Coagulants**

- Achieves significantly higher removal efficiencies than traditional treatment methods for many pollutants; 80-90% TP, 99.9% pathogen removal
- Requires significantly less land than traditional methods
- Typically has the lowest life cycle cost per mass TP and pathogen removed
- Improves receiving surface water quality for aesthetics, recreational use or public health
- Provides source water protection and controls growth of algae and bacteria (including blue-green algae)

#### **How Does the Process Work?**

Removal of particulate pollutants  $Al_2(SO_4)_3 + 6HCO_3^{-2} - ---> 2Al(OH)_3 (ppt) + 3SO_4^{-2} + 6CO_2$ Removal of dissolved phosphorus  $Al_2(SO_4)_3 + 2PO_4^{-3} - ---> 2AlPO_4 (ppt) + 3SO_4^{-2}$ 

The addition of acid salts consumes alkalinity and reduces water pH, however, a pH buffer is rarely required.

**Before Stormwater Chemical Treatment** 



## Stormwater Flow Metering and Chemical Feed Equipment

# After Stormwater Chemical Treatment

#### **Largo Central Park**



1200 acre watershed treated using 3 acre pond, floc pumped to SS Construction cost = \$1,000,000 Annual 0&M cost = \$50,000



#### **Existing Weems Pond Site Conditions**



#### Design – 10,000 acre watershed

Pollutant Load Reductions (pounds/year)							
BMPs Installed		TSS Ibs/yr	TP Ibs/yr	TN Ibs/yr	BOD Ibs/yr	Fecal Coliform	
Alum Injection						(total count)	
ds	Pre-Project	143,318	3,175	11,506	48,155	9.07E+13	
Pollutant Loads	Post-Project	31,964	838	8,681	32,389	1.73E+13	
	Load Reduction	<mark>111,355</mark>	2,337	2,825	<mark>15,766</mark>	7.34E+13	
	% Reduction	78%	74%	25%	33%	81%	

#### **Construction -Cost & Grants**

- Total Project Cost = ~\$6.5 million
- Construction Cost = ~\$5.4 million
- FDEP Grant = \$500,000
- 2<sup>nd</sup> Largest in FL





#### **Operations**








#### ULL-NURF Performance Data Total Phosphorus (mg/L)



## **Floc Removal and Dewatering**



#### **Capital Trail Cascade Park**





727.520.8181 www.aerophoto.com **Capital Cascades Park** 

Image # 1406020094 Date 06.02.14

# Enhanced Wetland Treatment System to Meet TMDL



6500 acre watershed treated Flows up to 100 cfs diverted

Construction cost = \$2,000,000Annual 0&M cost = \$75,000

Reduces chemical requirements; wetland alone achieves desired TP reduction during lower flows.

Dewatered floc used to amend constructed wetland treatment soils to bind P

Annual load reductions = 2,000 kg TP, 1,300 kg TN, 18,000 kg TSS

## **Ozone Disinfection**



# **Influent and Treated Water Monitoring Results**

Summary of Representative Data (through July - 06)				
Location	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	
Influent				
Total Coliform (MPN/100mL)	1,400	160,000	33,539	
Fecal (E. Coli) (MPN/100mL)	170	30,000	4,266	
Enterococcus (MPN/100mL)	15	37,000	5,859	
Treated Water				
Total Coliform	2	30	6	
Fecal (E. Coli)	2	30	2	
Enterococcus	1	140	13	

Treats 1 cfs Baseflow; Construction Cost \$3M, Annual O&M Cost \$40,000

# **UV Disinfection**

- Intake line from creek inside of existing box culvert
- Wet well with alternating pumps
- Basket filters
- Multimedia filters
- UV light bulbs
- Discharge from facility reintroduced to creek inside existing box culvert



# **3 Year Monitoring Results**

>99% Bacteria Kill in Treated Water from the Plant

Treats 0.33 cfs Baseflow, Construction Cost \$400,000; Annual O&M Cost \$20,000



#### City of Boise, Idaho Dixie Drain Enhanced Water Quality Treatment Phosphorus Offset Project



- First nonpoint source project used to offset total phosphorus requirements in a wastewater NPDES permit
- Includes coagulant addition facility to precipitate TP from the diverted agricultural flows

# **Recreational and Educational Elements**



#### Include recreational elements to allow a stormwater treatment system to be useful to the public and a benefit to community



# **BMP Life Cycle Cost Comparisons** are highly variable

Retrofit BMP	Life Cycle Cost per kg TP removed (\$)	Life Cycle Cost per kg TN removed (\$)
Pet Waste Education	150 - 300	20 - 40
Second Generation Baffle Box	400 - 1,600	250 - 500
Wet Detention Pond	200 - 2,400	100 - 1,000
Dry Detention Basin	1,500 - 7,000	1,250 - 2,500
Bioretention	1,000- 40,000	500 - 5,000
Stream Restoration	1,000 - 4,000	300 - 600
Chemical Treatment	90 - 180	50 - 100
Enhanced Wetland Treatment	100 - 200	100 - 200

Larger - regional systems tend to have significantly lower life cycle costs per mass pollutant removed than many smaller systems. LID for new construction is more cost effective.

# Questions

Jeff Herr, P.E., D.WRE jherr@brwncald.com